DEVELOPMENT OF THE
JUVENILE LOVE SCALE

A THESIS SUBMITTED TO THE GRADUATE DIVISION OF THE UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE OF

MASTER OF ARTS

IN PSYCHOLOGY

AUGUST 1983

Βу

Marilyn Easton Greenwell

Thesis Committee:

Elaine Hatfield, Chairman Daniel Blaine Ronald Johnson We certify that we have read this thesis and that in our opinion it is satisfactory in scope and quality as a thesis for the degree of Master of Arts in Psychology.

THESIS COMMITTEE

Elaine Watfield, Chairman

Daniel Blaine

Ronald Johnson

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Dr. Nicolaos Synodines for his great patience and skill in dealing with the vicissitudes of the computer and equal patience in discussing with me the ramifications of the statistical results.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIS	OF TABLE	s	• • • • •	••••		• • •	••	• • •	••	٠.	٠.	٠.	٠.	•		٠.	•	٠.	•	• •	V
I.	INTRODUCT	MOI			• • • •			• • •	••		٠.	٠.			٠.		•	٠.	•		1
II.	METHODS			• • • •		• • •		•••		٠.	٠.	٠.	٠.	•		٠.	•	• •	•	••	5
	RESULTS																				
IV.	SUMMARY			• • • •			••					٠.		•		٠.			•		18
APPE	ENDIX A:	Supp	lemer	ntary	Tab	les		•••				٠.							•		19
APPE	ENDIX B:	The	Quest	ionn	aire	es .		•••				٠.					•		•	••	26
BIBI	LIOGRAPHY						٠.							•							42

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Coefficient Alpha for Child Scale, Adult Scale and Child and Adult Scales Combined	11
2	Pearson Correlation Coefficient of Each Subject's Total Score on Child and Adult Scales	11
3	Correlation of Factor Scores: Child Scale with Adult Scale	12
4	Mean Score for Items on Child and Adult Scales	15
5	In-Love or Never-in-Love Correlated with Total Passion Scores	16
6	Children's Physical Maturity Rating Correlated with Total Passion Scores	17
7	Eigenvalues and Percent of the Variance Explained by the Unrotated Factors: Child Scale	20
8	EigenValues and Percent of the Variance Explained by the Unrotated Factors: Adult Scale	21
9	Eigenvalues and Percent of the Variance Explained by the Unrotated Factors: Combined Scales	22
10	Factor Loadings for the Unrotated First Principal Component: Adult and Child Samples: both Scales	23
11	Correlations of Factor Loadings on the Unrotated First Principal Component	24
12	Mean of Items: Adult and Child Samples: Both Scales	25

I. Introduction

Almost every adult remembers feeling passionate love at a very young age. Parents and teachers dismiss such feelings in children as merely a "crush" or "puppy love", yet the experience is usually so memorable that, when we think about it later in life, names, dates and situations from kindergarten days or even before spring readily to mind. In 1886 Sanford Bell (1902) polled his students who were teachers attending normal school in Indiana and assembled over 2500 cases of childhood passion. Clearly we all know very well when we first fell in love. It is something we never forget.

Despite the universality of the experience of early passion, since Dr. Bell most of the psychological literature has been strangely silent on the topic. The subject of love in children appears neither in the table of contents nor the index of over fifty of the current text books in child and developmental psychology and a search of The Psychological Abstracts was equally fruitless. Drs. Spock (1968) and Gesell (1946) do not give advice to parents about the love attachments of their young children. Piaget (1971, 1973) limits his field of study to the cognitions of children, and Kohlberg (1969) talks of moral development.

Psychologists and psychiatrists of the Freudian persuasion do discuss childhood passion, but only as Oedipal attachments to parents

to be outgrown, or as regressive attempts to relive the original frustrating "family romance". We find psychoanalytic therapists (Schafer, 1977, Tyson, 1980) treating young patients who "love" them as if the child were suffering from a failure of early parenting and needed to begin over again with the therapist playing the role of surrogate parent. Such an attitude implies that normal children with good parents will not suffer the derangements of passionate love.

Freudians also postulate a latency period (age 6 to 11) during which children temporarily abandon their attempts to handle sexuality and turn their attention outward to school work and same-sex friendships.

In <u>Childhood</u> and <u>Society</u> (1963), Erikson describes a child's growth in terms of functions such as nursing and elimination which are seen as concrete manifestations of the wider tasks of building assumptions of trust and mastery. The phenomenon of passionate love in children does not appear directly as a separate entity. He says of adult sexuality:

(The stage of) genitalia, then, consists in the unobstructed capacity to develop orgastic potency so free of pregenital interferences that genital libido (not just the sex products discharged in Kinsey's 'outlets') is expressed in heterosexual mutuality, with full sensitivity of both penis and vagina, and with a convulsionlike discharge of tensions from the whole body....A human being should be potentially able to accomplish mutuality of genital orgasm, but he should also be so constituted as to bear a certain amount of frustration in the matter without undue regression whenever emotional preference or considerations of loyalty call for it. (p. 265)

For Erikson, ideal adults find outlet for their "genital libido" in mutually orgasmic heterosexual activity and then go on about life without "undue regressions" or "pregenital interferences". Passion

apart from orgasm would seem to be a failure in maturity, and passion in children, therefore, a pregenital, inferior, and hopefully temporary stage in development.

Medical authorities (Money, 1980, Gadpaille, 1975) assume that true longing for a reciprocal love relationship appears full-blown after puberty as a response to physiological hormonal changes. Also adopting this view, Farber, in a chapter in a recent anthology called On Love and Loving, (1980) says:

(Our) adult conceptions of romantic love emerge primarily from the experiences we have had during adolescence- experiences that range from early adolescent "crushes" to mid-adolescent premarital relationships. (p.45)

After his review of the available research on the subject, Farber still places the onset of first romantic passion during early adolescence, and dismisses previous love attachments as merely "crushes". He assumes there is a difference between real passion and transient crushes. Such an attitude seems to ignore the many similarities between childhood love relationships and the mature sexual encounters of later life. The physiological changes of puberty are so visible they seem to have blinded many observers to the underlying continuity of emtional experience at all age levels.

A study just published entitled <u>Children's Sexual Thinking</u>
(Goldman and Goldman, 1982) thoroughly reviews the psychological literature and bewails the almost total lack of studies on the subject of children's ideas about sex, but, then itself omits "love" from a very extensive subject index. When one of the most common answers given by children to the question, "Why do people get married" was

"because they love each other", the authors admit that "supplementary probing (is required) to discover what the children meant by this statement and why marriage would then be necessary" (p.127). Finally, a computer search on the subject "children/love" produced no applicable references.

The psychological literature, then, has largely ignored the subject of passionate love in children or has attempted to deal with the phenomenon theoretically as a failure in maturity or an Oedipal regression. The current study attempts to provide an empirically validated scale to measure passionate love in young children (The Juvenile Love Scale). It is our hope that the use of the scale in further studies will increase our understanding of the genesis and resolution of these feelings in children and add substantial depth to our knowledge of the emotional dynamics of childhood which in turn may suggest appropriate interventions for helping children when normal development first begins to go awry.

II. Methods

The Juvenile Love Scale is based on The Passionate Love Scale which is a thirty item questionnaire developed by Sprecher and Hatfield and currently being validated at the University of Wisconsin (personal communication). Passionate love was defined as:

A state of intense longing for union with an other. Reciprocated love (union with the other) is associated with fulfillment and ecstacy. Unrequited love (separation) with emptiness; with anxiety or despair. A state of profound physiological arousal.

The <u>Passionate Love Scale</u> is designed to assess passionate love in adults (Sprecher, dissertation research, University of Wisconsin).

<u>Construction of The Passionate Love Scale</u>

The adult scale was constructed in the following way. An original set of 200 items was designed to measure the "desire for union."

These items were generated via suggestions in the psychological literature, especially Tennov (1979), Rubin (1970, 1980), Lee (1973, 1972), Lasswell (1980), Fromm (1974), Reik (1957) and Freud (1953, 1957), reading of philosophy (Plato, Aristotle) and from suggestions from undergraduate honors students at the University of Wisconsin. Several aspects of the desire for union appeared in these sources:

Components of Love

A. Cognition
1.) Intrusive thinking: Thoughts of the loved one are frequently on one's mind

- 2.) Idealization of the other
- 3.) Knowledge: Desire to know the other and be known B. Emotion
 - 1.) Intensity of pleasant feelings for the other
 - 2.) Ambivalent feelings: worrying about things going Wrong
 - 3.) Longing for reciprocity
 - 4.) Feeling of dependency
 - 5.) Desire for permanence
- C. Behavior
 - 1.) Altruism
 - 2.) Physical touching and movements toward physical closeness

 - 3.) Actions toward exclusiveness4.) Actions toward determining other's feelings

These 200 questions were then presented to 135 students. After further testing and statistical analysis, 30 items were finally chosen as most representative of the entity in question. It was determined that a nine step scale was most acceptable to those answering the items. All items were written in a positive direction as it was too difficult to reverse items written about passionate love.

Construction of The Juvenile Love Scale

The Juvenile Love Scale was designed to exactly parallel the 30 items on the adult scale. With the advice of a team of researchers (Hatfield, McIntosh, Shiziru and Suzuki), I rewrote each item in language which I felt a five-year-old could understand. The resultant questionnaire was then given by interview to seven children who ranged in age from 4 to 13. The older children were included to see if they were able to answer both the adult and child scale, while the younger ones were interviewed using the child scale and were asked what they thought about each item and if it made sense to them. If there was a question, the child was asked to suggest a better word or sentence.

The children responded enthusiastically and seemed to know exactly what I was talking about. Their responses were taped.

Validation of the Scale

We planned to validate the questionnaire by interviewing a sample of 10, 11, 12 and 13 year olds who would also complete the adult questionnaire. However, it was not possible to find an institution which would allow us to interview children on such a sensitive or (as some said) "useless" subject. A second plan was developed which involved asking undergraduate psychology students to take the two questionnaires themselves and then take home a set to give to a relative or neighbor of the appropriate age. The introductory explanations were written so that the child could read them himself and respond without help from the interviewer. Items on both scales were presented in the same order in each questionnaire and all subjects took the child scale first and then the adult as it was felt that the talk about sexual arousal in the introduction to the adult scale might cause anxiety in both the child who was responding and the parents who gave permission for him to participate.

Each experimenter/student was provided with directions for administering the questionnaires and none reported any difficulty. Students who participated received bonus points; children were paid \$5.00 upon return of the questionnaires. (See Appendix B for the questionnaires, instructions, and permission form). Permission for this project was granted by the Committee on Human Studies of the University of Hawaii.

Our original plan had been to photograph the children and have expert judges rate their physical maturity, but this could not be done when interviews proved impossible. As a substitute measure, in addition to returning the questionnaires from the child, each student filled out a single item estimate of the physical maturity of the child who had taken the scales. Physical maturity was rated on a scale of 1 to 9 with 1 being "Physically still a child" and 9 being "Physically mature, an adult" (See Appendix B).

All subjects were asked their age, sex and whether or not they were currently in love, used to be in love, or never had been in love with the person described in the questionnaire.

The Sample

Fifty-six children and 87 adults returned completed questionnaires. There were 2 ten-year-olds, 23 eleven-year-olds, 18 twelve-year-olds and 11 thirteen-year-olds in the child sample. Adults ranged in age from 16 to 27 with a mean age of 19.2. About the same number of males (28) as females (27) were in the child sample, with one child failing to register sex. In the adult sample there were twice as many females (59) as males (28).

The college students, of course, represent a biased sample of those qualified, motivated and financially able to attend college, and their acquaintances might represent a similar bias, but it was not felt that this was a serious handicap in estimating the comparability of two questionnaires about love.

III. Results and Discussion

Number of Factors

Once the data was collected, our first interest was in determining whether The Juvenile Love Scale (the child scale) and The Passionate Love Scale (the adult scale) had many factors or were unidimensional. To find this out, we subjected our data to a principal components analysis with unities in the main diagonal using the University of Hawaii implementation of "Release 9.1" of the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) (Nie, et., al., 1975). We analyzed each sample (adult and child) and each scale separately (that is, adult sample, child scale; adult sample, adult scale; then child sample, child scale; and child sample, adult scale). We then assessed how all subjects performed on each scale (that is, whole sample on child scale and whole sample on adult scale), and finally we performed a principal components analysis on all sixty items from both questionnaires using the adult and child samples together. The results showed that in every case one major factor accounted for the plurality of the variance: in the different analyses the first factor accounted for between 38% to 53% of the variance (see Tables of Eigenvalues, Appendix A).

When we examined the subsidiary factors which did appear item by item, the items seemed to have no logical relationship to each other, and bore no obvious relationship to the components of passionate love as originally suggested by the theory (see pages 5 & 6 above). Both the child scale and the adult scale seem to measure one single cohesive entity and all the dimensions which we included were equally weighted.

Internal Consistency and Reliability

Once we had established that both the scales were unidimensional, we wanted to see if they were internally consistent and reliable, both independently and when combined into a single questionnaire of sixty items. If the thirty items in each scale were highly related to each other, and when combined into one scale they were still highly related, we felt we could say that all items were measuring the same entity: the two scales were both measuring passionate love. A coefficient alpha was calculated for each sample on each scale, for all subjects on each scale, for each sample on the combined scale, and for all subjects on the combined scale. The resulting alpha were uniformly high under all conditions, ranging from .94 to .98 (see Table 1). Clearly the items on both scales are measuring the same domain.

Subjects' Responses

We then examined the data to see if subjects received similar scores on both scales. If a person was describing the same experience on both scales, the responses should sum to a similar total. We compared these totals using a Pearson product moment correlation coefficient. We analyzed each sample separately and then combined the two groups. Correlations were very high. The correlation of the

two total scores for the children was .88; for the adults it was .87.

Table 1
Coefficient Alpha for Child Scale, Adult Scale and
Child and Adult Scales Combined

Child Sample	Child Scale	.95
Child Sample (N=48)	Adult Scale	.96
Adult Cample	Child Scale	•94
Adult Sample (N=85)	Adult Scale	.96
All Subjects	Child Scale	.96
(N=133)	Adult Scale	.97
Child Sample (N=48)	Combined Scales	.98
Adult Sample (N=85)	Combined Scales	.97
All Subjects (N=133)	Combined Scales	.98

When all subjects were treated as a single group the resulting correlation was .90. Subjects obviously responded in a similar fashion

Table 2

Pearson Correlation Coefficient of Each Subject's Total Score

on Child and Adult Scales

Child Sample	(№56)	.88
Adult Sample	(N=87)	.87
All Subjects	(N=143)	.90

on the two scales (see Table 2).

In addition to the two total scores, each subject had a factor score on each scale which measured how much his answers contributed to the central factor delineated in the factor analysis (Nunnally, 1967). Factor scores should also be similar for each subject on each scale and the correlation of factor scores between the two scales were also very high (see Table 3).

Table 3

Correlation of Factor Scores: Child Scale with

Adult Scale

Child Sample	(N=56)	.88
Adult Sample	(№87)	.87
Whole Sample	(N=143)	.90

Item by Item Correspondences

Once we had established that the scales were unidimensional, measured the same domain, and produced a similar overall response in our subjects, we turned to an analysis of item by item correspondences. The high intercorrelation of each item with every other item is indicated by the results of the factor analysis and the high coefficient alphas described above. When only one factor emerges, items by definition must be highly intercorrelated.

We also correlated each item with its own scale totals, the

totals of the other scale and the combined totals of all sixty items. All items correlated very highly with all totals (p<.001) with 67 items in the .25 to .50 range, 221 in the .51 to .75 range, and 59 in the .76 to 1.00 range. The one exception was item one on both the child scale and the adult scale. This item on the child scale acheived a correlation of .19 with the total of 60 items combined; .26 compared with its own scale total, and .12 compared with the adult scale total. The same item on the adult scale correlated at .31 with its own scale total and .20 with the child scale total. This would suggest that the child scale item one could be rewritten to more closely parallel the adult item one, but also that the adult item one is less effective in its own right than the rest of the items (see Appendix B for the actual items). Such complaints seem of interest, however, only in comparison to the extremely high correlations of the other items with all totals.

Each item had a factor loading which indicated how highly related it was to the major factor which had emerged in the original analysis. It occurred to us that if these factor loadings for each scale were highly corrleated, we would know that the scales were operating in an exactly parallel manner, item by item. All scale by scale comparisons were made. All were correlated beyond the .01 level of significance except for three (see Table 11, Appendix A) but several correlations were quite low indicating that item by item correspondences between the scales are not as exact as the overall total passion score correlations might suggest. When we looked at and compared the loadings item by item few major differences appeared, however (see Appendix A, Tables of Factor Loadings). As the scales are designed to produce a single score, small item by item variations in factor loadings may not be important in the face of so much other evidence of inter- and intra-scale reliability.

Construct Validity

Our statistical analysis had shown us that the two scales are unidimensional, reliable and produce comparable results when taken by a child or an adult. Are they both in contact with a real world experience called "being in love"? We had postulated three predictions before the data collection began which were designed to test for construct validity.

- 1.) We suggested that children would have had fewer chances to fall in love than adults and that those experiences might have been less intense and produce lower scores on our scales.
- 2.) We asked subjects to state whether the feeling they were describing was for a person whom they had passionately loved, currently loved, or was somebody who was only close to being a loved one and they had never really been in love. We predicted that people who have experienced passion would score higher on both scales than those who had not.
- 3.) Finally, student/experimenters were asked to rate child subjects on a physical maturity scale of 1 to 9 (see Appendix B for scale used). As suggested in the introduction (page 3), both medical and Freudian authorities place great emphasis on the arrival

of the menses and ejaculation as heralds of heterosexual interests. Our child sample spanned this age period as children ranged in age from 10 to 13 and we expected that those who were more physically mature would be more passionate.

Item Means for Adults and Children

As we expected, children's scores on both questionnaires were lower than adults as represented by the mean scores on the items. The child sample had a mean score for items on the child scale of 5.85 and on the adult scale of 5.71. Adults, on the other hand, scored a mean of 7.30 on the child scale and 7.25 on the adult scale. The scales are close to equivalent within the samples, but adults obviously register higher in passion. The standard error and the standard deviations are also lower for adults for both scales suggesting greater unanimity in their response. It is hard to say if greater

Table 4

Mean Score for Items on Child and

Adult Scales

		Meana	Standard Error	Standard Deviation
Child Sample	Child Scale	5.85	0.21	1.59
(N=56)	Adult Scale	5.71	0.24	1.77
Adult Sample	Child Scale	7.30	0.12	1.11
(N=87)	Adult Scale	7.25	0.14	1.27

Each item was followed by a rating scale of 1 to 9. Highest agreement with the item was 9, lowest was 1.

variance in the range of responses represents a more or less serious

attitude toward the test-taking itself (see Table 4).

As predicted, the means for items on the child scale when compared with the means for items on the adult scale for both samples were not significantly different: children, t (55)=1.20, p>0.05; adults, t (86)=.64, p>0.05. When the adult sample was compared with the child sample, however, the samples were significantly different, t (141)=6.42, p<.001 (child scale) and t (141)=6.06, p<.001 (adult scale).

In-Love or Never-in-Love

Subjects' replies to the questions about whether or not they had experienced passionate love were coded as "having been in love" or "never-in-love" and a correlation was run between these categories and the subjects' total scores on the two scales. People who had been in love did score higher on the passion scales as we had predicted (see Table 5).

Table 5

In-Love or Never-in-Love Correlated with Total

Passion Scores

	Total	. Passionate Lov	e Score				
	Child Sample	Adult Sample	Adult Sca Child Sample				
In-Love/ Never-in- Love	0.55 ^a (N=56)	0.45 ^a (N=86)	0.60 ^a (№56)	0.49 ^a (N=86)			

a p<.001.

Physical Maturity

When the scaled estimate of physical maturity for each child was correlated with the total score on each scale, the results were very low (see Table 6). Either our method of measuring physical

Table 6
Children's Physical Maturity Rating Correlated
with Total Passion Scores

	Child Scale	Adult Scale	
Physical Maturity	.11 ^a (N=56)	.12 ^a (N=56)	

^aNeither correlation was significant at the .05 level.

maturity is inadequate, or the love experience is not different before and after the appearance of facial hair or breasts. If the latter is the explanation, the adults' higher passion scores may be due more to having lived longer and having had more contact with the popular culture than to the blossoming of hormones at puberty. This is an area for further study.

IV. Summary

Factor analysis and calculations of reliability coefficients have shown that <u>The Juvenile Love Scale</u> and <u>The Passionate Love Scale</u> are measuring a unified and comparable entity. Subjects respond to the two scales in a highly similar manner as is shown by the high correlations of their total scores and factor scores on each scale. Item by item correlations and item to total correlations are very high with only a few exceptions.

Passionate love varies between the two age groups as we expected and subjects who admit they have never been in love do indeed score lower when using our scales to report about their love experiences. Further research will clarify whether or not physical maturity effects passion.

It now remains to take <u>The Juvenile Love Scale</u> out to the population for which it is intended: children from five to ten. For the first time we can begin to map out the territory of passionate love among children. It is a new frontier begging to be explored.

APPENDIX A

Supplementary Tables

Table P Elgenvalues and Percent of the Variance Explained by the

Unrotated Factors: Child Scale

• (N=87) Whole Sample (N=143)	% of explained Eigenvalue % of explained variance	38.2 13.27 44.2	7.7 1.65 5.5	6.0 1.38 4.6	٠	4.4 1.13 3.8	4.3 1.90 3.0	3.8 0.90 3.0	3.5 0.89 3.0
Adult Sample (N=87)	Elgenvalue	11.47	2,31	1.80	1.66	1.33	1.30	1.14	1.06
Child Sample (N=56)	% of explained variance	40.8	9.6	5.2	5.2	4.8	4.2	3.4	3.4
Gilld	Elgenvalue	12.24	2.29	0.1	1.56	1.43	1.25	1.02	1.01
	Factor		N	3	#	8	9	7	œ

Table 8

Rigenvalues and Percent of the Variance Explained by the

Unrotated Factors: Adult Scale

le (N=143)	% of explained variance	53.2	6.2	4.5	3.7	3.1	2.7	2.4	2.2
Whole Sample (N=143)	Elgenvalue	15.96	1,85	1.3	1,10	₹.0	0.82	0.72	99.0
Adult Sample (N=87)	% of explained variance	49.6	6.8	5.4	4.3	4.2	3.3	2.9	2.8
Adult Sam	Eigenvalue	14.87	2.04	1.63	1.28	1.25	1.00	98.0	0.84
Child Sample (N=56)	% of explained variance	47.7	8.7	5.3	0.4	3.8	3.6	3.5	2.9
Child	Kigenvaluo	14.30	2,61	1.59	1.21	1,15	1.09	1.05	0.87
	Pactor	-	N,	3	4	۶	9	2	8

Table 9

Elgenvalues and Percent of the Variance Explained by the

Unrotated Factors: Combined Scales

	Child	Child Sample (N=56)	Adult Sample (N=87)	(N=87)	Whole Sample (N=143)	(N=143)
ctor	Elgenvalue	% of explained variance	Elgenvalue	% of explained variance	Eigenvalue	% of explained variance
-	24.97	41.6	24.69	41.1	27.77	46.3
8	3.87	6.5	3.67	6.1	2.91	4.8
6	2.92	4.9	3.14	5.2	2.27	3.8
#	2.54	4.2	2.58	4.3	1.92	3.2
2	2.21	3.7	2.28	3.8	1,81	3.0
9	1.68	3.1	1.8	3.3	1.52	2.5
2	1.81	3.0	1.78	3.0	1.33	2.2
8	1,60	2.7	1.69	2.8	1.26	2,1
6	1.52	2.5	1.43	2.4	1.19	2.0
0	1.44	2.5	1.39	2.3	1.14	1.9
_	1.36	2.3	1.25	2.1	1.07	1.8
82	1.20	2.0	1.17	2.0	0.99	1.7
9	1,12	1.9	0.93	1.6	\$.0	1.6
*	1.05	1.8	98.0	1.4	06.0	1.5

Table 10

Factor Loadings for the Unrotated First Principal Components

Adult and Child Samples, both Scales

ale	Adult Sample	.29	. 59	ंड	8.	9.	.65	た .	22.	19.	.27	.67	.80	.83	22.	%.	文.	99.	.82	8.	٤.	9.	99.	.61	.39	定.	۶.	.82	ŧ.	.23
Adult Scale	Child Sample	2.9	8	.62	2.	84.	3.	.72	99.	٤.	69.	۶.	5.	.67	22.	2.	ŧ.	۶.	99.	₹.	8.	2	۶.	۶.	8.	۲.	₽.	9:	5 , 7	ŧ.
	Item No.	0	. ~	1-3	٠.	•	2	•	6	•	=	12	13	1	15	16	17	18	19	8	21	22	23	₹	25	56	27	28	59	ደ
	Adult Sample	.20	7	SX	04.	.57	.۵	ŝ,	۲.	19.	₹.	۶.	.72	۶.	.52	.76	.57	&	7.	۲.	۶:	.61	.59	04.	04.	19.	99.	62.	2.	.57
Child Scale	Child Sample	64.		94.	.28	£4;	۲.	99.	89.	19.	%.	æ.	.59	.57	۲.	29.	19.	₹.	.3	٠67	19:	3.	19.	۶.	.52	.72	.77	29.	₹.	* .
	item No.	- 0	· ~	·=	~	9	7	•	٥,	9	=	12	13	*	15	16	17	18	19	50	21	22	23	叔	25	56	27	8	62	2

Table 11

Correlations of Factor Loadings on the Unrotated First

Principal Component

	Child Sample/Child Scale	d Sample/Child Scale Adult Sample/Child Scale Child Sample/Adult Scale Adult Sample/Adult Scale	Child Sample/Adult Scale	Adult Sample/Adult Scale
Child Sample/ Child Scale	1.00	.53	14.	.30
Adult Sample/ Child Scale	.53	1.00	81.	89.
Child Sample/ Adult Scale	14.	.18	1.00	5 .
Adult Sample/ Adult Scale	96.	83.	*:	1.00

dult Sample/Combined Scale	64.
4	Child Sample/ Combined Scale
All Subjects/Child Scale	19.
	All Subjects/ Adult Scale

Table 12 Hean of Items: Adult and Child Samples, both Scales

						M																									
Adult Scale	Adult Sample	6.4	7.1	6.8	9.9	9.9	8.3	5.6	7.9	6.7	7.4	7.4	7.4	2.0	7.2	7.1	7.4	7.7	9.0	6.9	2.5	9.9	2.5	6.7	7.1	8.2	7.5	7.5	4.9	7.5	7.2
PV	Calld Sample	8.4	5.9	2.6	8.4	5.6	2.0	5.7	6.3	5.2	6.1	5.3	2.6	5.3	2.0	4.0	6.2	5.2	5,8 6,6	0.9	٠,٠ د د د	5.5	٠, در	٥.٠	0.0	6.5	5.6	5.5	5.5	6,0	٥.٥
	Item No.	-	8		-	ν,	•	2	89	6	-	=	12	.	†	7,	9.	17	81	6	ୡୄ	7.	22	2	Ŕ	25	56	27	58	62	R
cale	Adult Sample	6.4	6.0	5.9	6.9	7.4	8.3	7.4	7.7	6.8	7.9	7.1	2.9	9.1	6.7	7.2	÷.		7.8	7.2	7.5	5.5	2.5		0.0	9.1	7. *	5.6	2.0	2.5	5. 2
Child Scale	Child Sample	4.1	5.0	5.5	5.6	5.4	7.1	5.9	9.9	9.0	9.9	5.6	6.9	9.9	2.6	4.0	2.0	6.4	6,8	6.5	٠ <u>٠</u>	÷,	, ,	0.0	0.4	7.3	2.5	5.3	5.5	, ,	2.0
	Item No.	-	8	6	.	ς.	9	2	œ	6	0	=	27	<u>.</u>	*	2,	0 ;	17	8 4	61	2 7	12	22	Ç i	1.7	25	50	27	2 2	£ 6	2

APPENDIX B

The Questionnaires



University of Hawaii at Manoa

Department of Psychology 2430 Campus Road - Honotulu, Hawaii 96822 Caple Address: UNIHAW

Hove Study

Thank you for helping with this research project. The information which we collect will be used to develop a scale for measuring love which will be used nationwide. You will fill out two different questionnaires, both asking about your passionate love feelings for a special person in your life. Please think about the same person for both questionnaires. One of the questionnaires has been written for children age five and six and the other for adults. There are no "right" or "wrong" answers to the questions, but it is very important that you answer honestly and seriously. Take as much time as you need. Everything you say will be kept secret. You do not even have to show this to your parents. If the questionnaires are returned by the due date, you will receive \$5.00 for your participation. Please also return the parental permission form. Thank you!

Na me	Number
Age	Boy or Girl

Please turn the page and begin!

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

QUESTIONNAIRE :

THE JUVENILE LOVE SCALE

Number	
--------	--

Questionmaire I: The Juvenile Love Scale.

Instructions to the participant:

We are trying to find out how children feel when they love somebody in a very special way. Some children think about a special person a lot, get very excited about him or her and want to get very, very close. Sometimes we call this a "crush". Please list on the lines that follow the names of the eight people you have most loved or liked in your life:

пате	male or female
1,	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6,	
7	
8	

Now draw a circle around the name of the person you'd feel most excited about seeing right now or used to get excited about seeing if that person isn't around any more. Do not choose mother, father or brother or sister. If you aren't excited about him/her right now, try to remember how you felt when you did feel the most excited. If you don't think you have ever felt very excited, try to answer anyway, remembering how you did feel.

Each question is followed by a nine point rating scale. If you circle the 9 it means you agree very much with what the item says. If you circle the 1, it means you agree very little with what the item says. Try to circle the number which mosy closely explains how you do feel.

Please turn the page and begin.

Que	stionnaire E	•				Numb	er	100 co
1.	ilhen	_is aroun	d I laugh	and cry n	ore often.			
100000	74.44	1-				SETS6	very much	
3.52	se very litt	10	и	-	6	7	8	9
1	2	3	٦	,	-	•		
2,	I feel like	things w	ould alway	ys be sad	and gloom;	y if I had	to live	without
	reme 14+4	1.				25790	very much	_
3.00	se very litt	7	и	5	6	7	£	9
	_	•						
3.	Sometimes 1	feel sha	key all o	ver when I	500			
2.5	ee very lit	ile				3570	very much	<u>-</u>
7	ee very litt	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
4.	Did you eve	er think	t was fun	just to x	match	30.	haucra ev	?
						agree	very auc	1
2.0	ree very lit		- 44	5	,	7		۹ .
1	2	3	4	,				
COL	Did you ev							
	ree very lit	+1 a		T. M. T. M. S.		3,5290	very nuci	
	7	3	4	5	6	7		9
6.	I feel hap	by when I	am doing	something	to make _			
20	-ee very lit	tle				16790	Very Duc	
=	ree very lit	3	. 4	5	6	7	8	9
						1		
7.	I would ra	ther be w	1th	than w	ith anyood	17 9130.		
	•••	41-				18290	very muc	ia
345	ree very lit	110	.,,	5	-	7	Aeth Enc	٠,
	_	•	17			•	•	1.5
3	I'd feel b	ed if I :	hought		liked son	mebody els	e better	than me.
٥.								
22	ree very 144	tle	11			agree	very muc	
-	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	_	_				_		
	No one els					٠.		
						LETTE	very muc	n
3.6	Tee AGLA 17.	11.10			4	7	8	9
1	ree very li	3	4	•	•	,	•	•
10	. I want to	know all	I can ab	out		_•		
- (1000					
3.0	ree very 11	ttle					e very mu	<u>a</u>
_		-		_	4	1	8	7

						.30	umber	
,11.	I'd like		to belon	g to me in	every way			
	se very li	ttle				· ·		
<i>,</i>	2	3	4	5	6	7	e very muc	<u> </u>
12.	I will al					•		•
1	ee very lit	3	4	5	4	1	e very muc	-
13.	I feel al						T look at	,
1	se very lit	3	4	5		7	e very muc	1_4
	I'd like							
350	ee very lit	tle				1423	e very muc	1
per:	If I coul	d, when I	इक्क क्ये	I'd like t	o marry (1	ive with	for same	sex
1000		+1.						
1	z very lit	3	<u>,</u>			3410	very much	<u>.</u>
16.								- 1
				on who can			appiest.	
<u> </u>	e very lit	tle 7				247796	Yery much	<u>_</u>
							8	9
	When					r.		
14.	e very litt	:10				3,5790	very much	
						7	8	9
18.	I feel all	L soft and	i happy in	uside about	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
ar:	very litt	:le	2			lerse	very much	
'	2	3	4	5-	6	7	8	9
19.	I am alway	s thinkin	g about _					
1619	e very litt	le:				1 ~~~	Verry Tueb	
ı	very litt	3	4	5	6	7	9g	<u> </u>
	If I were							
1	very litt	3	4	5	6	7	Yery much	- 9
	Sometimes							•
			الانباد رساب	or work be	CALLES : 4.11	cutuktui	about	 -
I TO	very litt	7	4			12:30	very much	 ,

Number_

	I want	_to know	w ma, ਸੀਹ	at I am t	hinking, w	hat scares	s me, wha	t I an
2.2786	very little				Account to account the	34290	very muc	n
7	very little	3	4	5	6	7	very muc	9
	Knowing that			about me	makes Te	feel more	146 T a	m OK.
23.	THOMING CURE			20000		7997 WOTA		
AFTE	very little					agree	very muc	<u>n</u>
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
24.	I look at	å	lot to	see if he	(she) lik	es me.		
14736	very little					25200	very muc	<u>=</u>
1	very little	3	4	5	6	, 7	8	٦
25. it wa	If as lots of fu	needed :	help fro	m me, I'd m (her).	stop what	I was do:	ing, even	15
24236	very little					145.00	very muc	h_
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
26.		can mai	ke me fe	el bubbly	, like cok	e.		
	very little			20 5 .			very muc	h
ī				5	4	1	8	9
22								
		10.000	ned T me	ally want	to touch	him (her)	and be t	ouched
~/•	when	_is aro	und I re	ally want	to touch	him (her)	and be t	ouched
							and be t	ouched h
	very little						end be t	ouched h9
agree I	very little	3	4	5	6	7 .	and be t	ouched h9
<u>agree</u> 28.	2 Living withou	3 ut	4	5 ould be ve	cy, very :	7 .	& ASLA MIC	<u>n</u> -9
<u>agree</u> 28.	2 Living withou	3 ut	4	5 ould be ve	cy, very :	7 .	very muc	<u>n</u> -9
28.	2 Living without very little	3 ut	4 	5 ould be ve	6 bry, very s	7 .	& ASLA MIC	<u>n</u> -9
28.	2 Living withou	3 ut	4 	5 ould be ve	6 bry, very s	7 .	& ASLA MIC	<u>n</u> -9
28. 28. 29.	Living without very little 2 Living without very little 2 Livant to hu	3 ut 3	4 v	5 ould be we 5 very, very	c ry, very s	20000 7 sad. 20000	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	**************************************
28. 28. 1 29.	Living without to human very little	3 ut 3	4 4	5 ould be ve	cy, very s	34790 7 34790	Very muc	h_q
28. 28. 1 29.	Living without very little 2 Living without very little 2 Livant to hu	3 ut 3	4 4	5 ould be ve	cy, very s	34790 7 34790	Very muc	h_q
28. 29. 29.	Living without to human very little 2 I want to human very little 2 When I think	3 3 5 3	4 wo	5 rery, very	bry, very s	agree 7 agree 7 agree 7	very muc	h q
28. 29. 29.	Living without to human very little	3 3 5 3	4 wo	5 rery, very	bry, very s	agree 7 agree 7 agree 7	very muc	h q

This is the end of Questionnaire E. Please go back and check to make sure you have answered all of the questions.

QUESTIONNAIRE II

THE PASSIONATE LOVE SCALE

LOVE QUESTIONNAIRE

We are interested in learning more about how people feel when they are in love. Many people become preoccupied with the other person, feel very intense emotions—including sexual excitement. They long for complete union. Some common terms for this feeling are passionate love, infatuation, love sickness or obsessive love.

Please think of the person whom you love most passionately <u>right</u>

now. If you are not in love right now, please think of the last person you

loved passionately, and how you felt at the time when your feelings were the

nost intense. If you think you have never felt passionate love, think of the

person whom you came closest to caring for in that way. Keep this person in

mind as you complete the questionnairs. All of your responses will be strictly

confidential.

	PASSIONATE LOVE SCALE				
	Following are sever that you may experience passionately.) For ea- how true the statement	ral statements about e for(the per ch statement, circle is for you.	thoughts rson you the numb	and feeling love/have l er which bes	s and behavio oved most t expresses
1.	Since I've been involve coaster.	ed with, my emo	tions ha	ve been on a	roller
	not at all true	4 5 moderately true	6	•	g q
2.	I would feel deep desp				
	not at all true	woderately true	6	defini	g tely true
3.	Sometimes my body tram				
	not at all true	4 5 moderately true	6	7 defini	g q
4.	I take delight in stud	ying the movements an	d angles	of's	body.
	not at all true	moderately true	6	7 defini	f tely true
	Sometimes I feel I can				
	not at all true	# 5 moderately true	6	7 defini	f Litely true
6.	I feel happy when I am				
	not at all true	moderately true	6	1 defin	g q
7.	I would rather be with				
	not at all true	4 5 moderately true	6	1 defini	g q
з.	I'd get jealous if I t				
	not at all true	4 5	.	1 defin	g q
9.	no one else couli love				
	not at all true	w 5 moderately true	6	7 defini	g q

Number

not a	t all	true	3	4 moder	5 ately true	6	7	lefinitely true
I wan	t		physi	cally, em	otionally,	mentall	7.	
not a	t all	true	3	nodez	stely true	. 6	1	g definitely true
I wil	1 love	8	for	ever.				
not a	2 t all	true	3	uoder moder	stely tru	6	1	g definitely true
I mel	t when	lock:	ing de	eply into	·	s eyes.		
not i	t all	true	3	# mode:	rately tru		7	g definitely true
I hav	e an	endles	s app	etite for	affection	from	·	
not a	t all	true	3	4 mode:	rately tru	. 6	7	definitely tru
For	ne,	1	s the	perfect :	romantic p	artner.		
not :	t all	true	3	u mode	5 rately tru	6	1	g definitely tru
	1 - 4	he 202	OAR W	ho can ma	ke ma icel	the nap	Test.	
not	at all	true	3	H mode	rately tru	6	. 7	§ definitely tru
				and 4 are who	n to	uches me		
not	at all	trus	3	4 mode	rately tr	20	7	g definitely tru
	- 9							
not	at al	L true	3	# mode	rately tr	6	1	g definitely tro
	al	1275 S	ems t	to be on	ny mind.			
1	at al	l true	3	u mode	5 erately tr	110	Erer 7	f definitely tr
305								feel intensely

						_	-	
21,	I somet:	imes find	i it diff: my mind.	icult to c	oncentrate	on work	because th	oughts of
	•	1 11 true	3	4 moderate	5 ly true	6	7 definit	g aly true
22.	I want _	to	know me	. my thou	ghts, ay f	Cears, and	my hopes.	
	not at a	1 ill true	3	4 moderate	5 ly true	4	7 definit	g ely true
23.	Knowing	that	10,100=1 /2		makes me f	eel compl	ete.	
	not at a			moderate	Ly true	•	definit	ely true
							ire for me.	
	not at a	1 11 true	3	4 moderate	5 Ly true	6	7 definite	
25.	concerns	to help	him/her	out.		me, I wou	ld put away	у му сып
,	not at a	2 11 true	3	4 moderate	5 Ly true		definite	f oly true
26.					ent and bu			
1	not at a	l ll true	3	4 moderatel	5 y true	6	7 definite	8 9 Ly true
27.	In the p	resençe			o touch a			
1	not at a	2 11 true	3	4 moderatel	5 y true	6	7 definite	
			3-74		e dark an	dismal.		
ı	not at a	1 11 true	3	u moderatel	5 y true	6	definite	g q Ly trus
		s a power			··			
1	not at a	l il trus	3	4 moderatel	5 y true		1 definite	
30.	I get ex	·				go right i	n my relat	
1	not at a			ب moderatel	5 y true		1 definite	
		-		The sie of				

We asked you to say how you felt about "someone you love passionately."
Who is that special person?

1. Someone I feel passionately about right now.
2. Someone I used to feel passionately about.
3. I never felt passionately about anybody, so I chose that person who came closest to being someone I loved.

Flease check to make sure you have answered all the questions on the two questionnaires.

THANK YOU VERY MUCHILLLI

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ADMINISTERING THE LOVE QUESTIONNAIRES.

- 1. You must administer the questionnaires to a child age 11, 12, or 13.
- 2. The child must fill out both.
- The child should not choose mother or father or brother or sister to "think about".
- 4. Please make sure the child takes it seriously. That is your responsibility as a psychologist. It will help if he/she knows you are not going to read the answers. You might put it right into an envelope so he can see you mean it.
- 5. Return the questionnaire on one of the due dates posted.
- 6. You must return the permission slip also.
- 7. You may show him how to use the scale if he needs help, but don't tell him which numbers to circle.
- 8. Any problems? Call me at home-- 988-6319-- after 4 P.M.

FRIENDSHIP/LOVE STUDY: PERMISSION FORM

The Department of Psychology at the University of Hawaii, Manoa, would like to request your permission for your son or daughter to participate in a social science research project. We are comparing the friendship and love feelings of children and adults. Your child is asked to answer the attached questionnaires. The total time required will be no more than one hour, and the information will be kept entirely confidential. The questionnaires have been approved by the committee on Human Studies and the Chairman of th Psychology Department. The data which we collect will be used to validate a new questionnaire that we anticipate will be used nationwide, so please tell your child how important it is that he be truthful and serious in his responses. However, there are no "right" or "wrong" answers. Your child will receive \$5.00 when the questionnaires have been returned. We hope to gain knowledge from this experiment which will help us to design more effective school programs for teaching children to understand and respect their feelings about their close friends. Please call me if you have any questions: 988-6319 after 4 P.M.

AGREEMENT TO PARTICIPATE IN FRIENDSHIP/LOVE STUDY

I certify that I have read and understand the foregoing, that I have been given satisfactory answers to my inquiries concerning project procedures and other matters and I have been advised that I am free to withdraw my consent and to discontinue participation in the project or activity at any time without prejudice.

I consent to the participation of my minor child or ward in this project with the understanding that such consent does not waive any legal right, nor does it release the principal investigator or the institution or any employee or agent thereof from liability for negligence or for any wrongful act or conduct.

signature of minor	signature of parent or guardian
date	date

PLEASE RETURN THIS PERMISSION FORM WITH COMPLETED QUESTIONNAIRES

TO: Marilyn Easton Greenwell Department of Psychology 2430 Campus Road University of Hawaii Honolulu, Hawaii 96822

PHYSICAL MATURITY SCALE

Children change physically as they mature. Girls fill out into a womanly shape, boys grow beards and their voices change. Would you please rate the physical maturity of the child who filled out this form on the scale below?

1	2	3	4	5	6	77	88	99
-	ically Lachild	ı .						y mature; y an adult.

Bibliography

- Arnold, M.B. Feelings and emotions. New York: Academic Press, 1970.
- Bell, Sanford. A preliminary study of the emotion of love between the sexes. American Journal of Psychology, 1902, 13, 325-354.
- Benedek, T. Ambivalence, passion and love. <u>Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association</u>, 1977, 25, 53-79.
- Berscheid, E., & Walster, E. <u>Interpersonal attraction</u>. Reading, Mass: Addison-Wesley, 1978.
- Bindra, D.B. A unified interpretation of emotions and motivation.

 Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1969, 159, 1071-1083.
- Bowlby, J. Attachment and loss. New York: Basic Books, Inc., 1969.
- Byrne, D. The attraction paradigm. New York: Academic Press, 1971.
- Campbell, D., & Fiske, D. Convergent and discriminant validation by the multitrait-multimethod matrix. <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, 1959, 56, 81-105.
- Centers, R. <u>Sexual attraction and love: An instrumental theory</u>. Springfield, Ill.: Charles C. Tolman, 1975.
- Cook, M., & Wilson, G. Love and attraction. Elmsford, New York: Pergamon Press, Ltd., 1979.
- Cronbach, L. Essentials of psychological testing. New York: Harper and Row, 1949.
- Cronbach, L. Response sets and test validity. Educational Psychological Measurement, 1946, 6, 475-494.
- Cronbach. L., & Meehl, P. Construct validity in psychological tests.

 <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, 1955, <u>52</u>, 281-302.
- Darwin, C. The expression of emotions in man and animals. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1965.
- Douglass, H., & Spencer, P. Is it necessary to weight exercises in standardized tests? <u>Journal of Educational Psychology</u>, 1923, 14, 109-112.

- Edwards, A.L. Experimental design in psychological research. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., 1968.
- Erikson, E.H. Childhood and Society. New York: W.W. Norton and Co., Inc., 1963.
- Eysenck, H. Criterion-analysis and application of the hypothetical deductive method in factor analysis. <u>Psychological Review</u>, 1950, 57, 38-53.
- Fenichel, O. The psychoanalytic theory of neurosis. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1955.
- Festinger, L., & Katz, D. (eds.). Research methods in the behavioral sciences. New York: Dryden Press, 1953.
- Fisher, S., & Greenberg, R.P. The scientific credibility of Freud's theories and therapy. New York: Basic Books, Inc., 1977.
- Freud, S. Contributions to the psychology of love: A special type of choice of objects made by men. In E. Jones (ed.), <u>Collected</u> papers (Vol.4). London: Hogarth Press, 1953.
- Freud, S. Introductory lectures on psychoanalysis: Lecture XX. The sexual life of human beings. In J. Strachey (ed.), The complete psychological works of Sigmund Freud (Vol. 16). London: Hogarth Press, 1957.
- Freud, S. Beyond the pleasure principle. New York: W.W. Norton and Co., 1961.
- Fromm, E. The art of loving. New York: Harper and Row, 1974.
- Gattegno, C. On love. New York: Educational Solutions, Inc., 1977.
- Gadpaille, W. The cycles of sex. New York: Charles Scribner and Sons, 1975.
- Gesell, A.L., The child from five to ten. New York: Harper and Brothers, 1946.
- Gesell, A.L., & Ilg, F.L. Child development, an introduction to the study of human growth. New York: Harper, 1949.
- Goldman, R., & Goldman, J. Children's sexual thinking. London: Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1982.
- Goode, W.J. The theoretical importance of love. American Sociological Review, 1959, 24, 38-47.

- Guilford, J. <u>Psychometric methods</u> (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill, 1954.
- Gulliksen, H. Theory of mental tests. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1950.
- Guttman, L. The basis for scalogram analysis. In S.A. Stouffer (ed.), Measurement and prediction. Princeton, N.J.:
 Princeton University Press, 1966.
- Harper, R. <u>Human love-existential and mystical</u>. Baltimore, Md.: Johns Hopkins Press, 1966.
- Hatfield, E., & Walster, G.W. A new look at love. Reading, Mass.:

 Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1978.
- Hazo, R.G. The idea of love. New York: Frederick A. Praeger, 1967.
- Helmstadter, G. C. <u>Principles of psychological measurement.</u> New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1964.
- Kephart, W.M. Some correlates of romantic love. <u>Journal of Marriage</u> and the Family, 1967, 29, 470-74.
- Kohlberg, L. State and sequence: The cognitive-developmental approach to socialization. In D. Gaslin (ed.), <u>Handbook of Socialization and Research</u>. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1969.
- Lasswell, M. & Lobsenz, N.M. Styles of loving. New York: Doubleday, 1980.
- Lee, J.A. The colours of love: An exploration of ways of loving. Toronto: New Press, 1973.
- Luttge, W.G. The role of gonadal hormones in the sexual behavior of the Rhesus monkey and human: A literature survey. Archives of Sexual Behavior, 1971, 1, 61-88.
- Menninger, K. Love against hate. New York: Harcourt, Brace and World, Inc., 1942.
- Money, J. Love and love sickness. Baltimore, Md.: Johns Hopkins University Press, 1980.
- Nie, Norman H., Huldai, Hall C., Jenkins, Jean G., Steinbrenner, Karin, and Bent, Dale H. <u>Statistical Package for the Social Sciences</u>, 2nd ed., New York: McGraw Hill, 1975.
- Norton, D., & Kille, M.F. <u>Philosophies of love.</u> San Francisco: Chandler Publishing Company, 1971.

- Nunnally, J.C. <u>Tests and measurements:</u> <u>Assessment and prediction.</u>
 New York: <u>McGraw Hill, 1959.</u>
- Nunnally, J.C. Psychometric theory. New York: McGraw Hill, 1967.
- Oppenheim, A.N. Questionnaire and attitude measurement. New York: Basic Books, Inc., 1966.
- Oremland, E.K., & Oremland, J.D. The <u>sexual gender development of young children:</u> The <u>role of educator</u>. Cambridge, Mass.:
 Ballinger Publishing Company, 1977.
- Pellegrini, R.J. Sex differences in the preception of lovemate attraction. <u>Perceptual Motor Skills</u>, 1978, 47, 1089-1090.
- Piaget, J. <u>Biology</u> and <u>knowledge</u>, B. Walsh, trans. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1971.
- Piaget, J. The language and thought of the child. New York: Basic Books, 1983.
- Opoe, K.S., & Associates. On love and loving. San Francisco: Josey-Bass Publishing Company, 1980.
- Reik, T. On love and lust. New York: Farrarm Straus and Cudahy, 1957.
- Reymert, M.L., (ed.). Feelings and emotions. New York: McGraw Hill Book Company, Inc., 1950.
- Rubin, Z. Measurement of romantic love. <u>Journal of Personality and Social Psychology</u>, 1970, <u>16</u>, 265-273.
- Rubin, Z. Children's friendships. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1980.
- Schachter, S., & Singer, J.E. Cognitive, social and physiological determinants of emotional state. <u>Psychological Review</u>, 1962, 69, 379-399.
- Schafer, R. The interpretation of transference and the conditions for loving. <u>Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association</u>, 1977, <u>25</u>, 335-362.
- Sears, R.E., Maccoby, E., & Levine, H. Patterns of child rearing. White Plains, New York: Paul Peterson, 1957.
- Spock, Benjamin. Baby and child care. New York: Hawthorne Books, 1968.

- Sprecher, Susan. The passionate love scale. Dissertation in progress, University of Wisconsin.
- Stephenson, E. The study of behavior: Q-technique and its methodology. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1953.
- Swensen, C.H. & Gilner, F. Factor analysis of self-report statements of love relationships. <u>Journal of Individual Psychology</u>, 1964, 20, 186-188.
- Swensen, C.H. The behavior of love. In H.A. Otto (ed.), <u>Love Today.</u>
 New York: Association Press, 1972, 86-101.
- Symons, D. The evolution of human sexuality. New York: Oxford University Press, 1981.
- Tennov, D. Love and limerence: The experience of being in love.

 New York: Stein and Day, 1979.
- Thomas, A., & Chess, S. The <u>dynamics</u> of <u>psychological</u> <u>development</u>. New York: Brunner/Mazel, 1980.
- Tyson, P. The gender of the analyst: In relation to transference and countertransference manifestations in prelatency children.

 Psychoanalytic Study of the Child, 1980, 35, 321-338.
- Underhill, E. Mysticism, a study in the nature and development of nan's spiritual consciousness. New York: Noonday Press, 1955.
- Webster, H. Correcting personality scales for response sets or suppression effects. <u>Psychological Bulletin</u>, 1958, 55, 62-64.
- Wilson, E.O. Sociobiology. Cambridge, Mass.: The Belknap Press, 1975.